

## **DTAS ANNUAL CONFERENCE 2022**

Proposed Changes to the DTAS Scheme Standards for 2022-23

Gary Elkington Chair, DTAS Management Committee





The review of Version 11 (April 2021 to March 2022) of the DTAS Scheme Standards was carried out in two stages:

- Version 11a issued to all members on 22 December 2021
- Version 12 proposed changes circulated to members on 18 March 2022





The reviews were carried out by:

- Gary Elkington
- Gosia Johnstone
- Joanna King
- Paul Charlton
- Ian Wakeling

Arla Foods Saputo Dairy UK Red Tractor Charlton Agricultural Solutions Dairy UK





# VERSION 11a





#### **STANDARD A1.3** – Subcontractors membership of DTAS

	Standard	Guidance	Assessor Guidance
A1.3	Subcontractors providing any milk	Staff not directly employed by the haulier must be trained to carry out their role	Documentation check and questioning managers. Look for evidence
	haulier functions on behalf of the	in compliance with the DTAS standards and records kept.	of the status of any subcontractors currently in use, typically a copy
	haulier for operations falling under the		of the subcontractor's scheme certificate.
	scope of this scheme must be scheme	Definitions:	
	members.		Look for evidence of the training of staff not directly employed by
		Definition of subcontractor:	the haulier (if applicable).
	Where a regular 'traction-only' solution		
	is provided to a haulier there is no	Subcontracting is where part of an operation has been assigned to a third-party	
	requirement for the provider to be DTAS	haulier.	
	certified.		
		Definition of milk haulier:	
	R		
		A haulier is defined as being responsible for any of the following in relation to raw	
		milk and / or milk fractions:	
		Farm collection / loading of a tanker / transhipment between tankers / discharge	
		into a delivery point / CIP of a tanker / management of aspects of the operation.	
		Definition of traction only:	
		A traction only haulier is not involved in farm collection / loading of a tanker /	
		transhipment between tankers / discharge into a delivery point / CIP of a tanker /	
		management of aspects of the operation.	
		A traction only haulier may be permitted to undertake loading of a tanker /	The haulier must be able to demonstrate through the use of
		transhipment between tankers / discharge into a delivery point / CIP of a	training records that any 'traction only' service provider utilised
		tanker subject to the haulier having received the appropriate training.	(who is not themselves DTAS certified) has received appropriate
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	training to undertake loading of a tanker / transhipment between
		The above paragraph is a temporary measure and will be removed at 31 March 2022.	tankers / discharge into a delivery point / CIP of a tanker.



In light of the exceptional circumstances, a temporary derogation was added to 31 March 2022



#### **STANDARD A3.1** – Comprehensive traceability for all loads

Section	Standard	Guidance	Assessor Guidance
A3.1	Procedures must be in place to ensure	Records must include:	Questioning managers and drivers; documentation check including tracing a load.
	Comprehensive	The description of the product.	
	traceability for all	<ul> <li>Date and time of the collection.</li> </ul>	Check random sample of recent reload deliveries & trace loads against
	loads.	<ul> <li>Volume or quantity.</li> <li>Names and addresses of 'Consigner' and 'Consignes'</li> </ul>	guidance.
		Names and addresses of 'Consignor' and 'Consignee'.	
		<ul> <li>Name and address of the food business operator to whom the food is being sent.</li> </ul>	If no reload deliveries then check ex-farm route summaries.
		Reference enabling the lot, batch or consignment, as appropriate, to be	Questioning of management: an example may be if the measurement
		identified.	system on the ex-farm tankers breaks down and cannot print a route
		• Data relevant to customer specifications for the type of milk or milk	summary; determine what are the procedures for providing traceability for
		fraction being delivered e.g. geographical region; specialist; farm assured status; cream grade etc.	that load.
		<ul> <li>D600/BCT55 should include confirmation of Red Tractor assurance status if applicable. For clarity, this does not apply to ex-silo milk from</li> </ul>	Check download tickets and select one downloaded route for presence of:
		a dairy processing site.	Producer I.D. (name and no.)
			Collection time.
		Farm collection data must be transferred to the relevant customer within	Collection temperature.
		the agreed time period and in the format requested by the customer.	Volumes collected.
		Checks must be in place to verify that this is achieved.	• Milk type being RT followed by any other individual company required information. The haulier must maintain an index of any abbreviations
		The customer may have additional requirements for certain specific	used.
		operations.	
			Question managers on treatment of exceptions.
		The haulier must also have procedures for dealing with breakdowns in traceability.	

For clarity, the guidance has been amended to show confirmation of Red Tractor assurance status does not apply to ex-silo milk **from a dairy processing site.** 





Section	Standard	Guidance	Assessor Guidance
A4.7	A CIP operation on a dairy site,	The auditor needs to check the site	The assessor does not have to inspect the CIP but has to
	under the responsibility of that	is under the control of the dairy and	confirm that the site has valid certification recognised
	dairy, and covered by the Global	has accreditation recognised by the	by the GFSI <mark>or SALSA</mark> .
	Food Safety Initiative (GFSI) or	GFSI, as defined in Appendix 1 of	
	SALSA is outside the scope of the	these Standards, or by SALSA.	
	audit.		
	R		
	-		

**GFSI: The Global Food Safety Initiative.** This is a business-driven initiative for the development of food safety management systems to ensure food facilities are processing safe food for consumers, thus providing a universal gold-standard of recognition to specific food safety audits.

The GFSI benchmarked schemes include: Primus GFS, FSSC 22000, Global Red Meat Standard, SQF, BRC Global Standard and IFS International Featured Standards

To clarify that SALSA is recognised as an alternative to those listed under the definition of GFSI: The Global Food Safety Initiative in "Appendix 1 – Definitions" in the Standards (as shown above), the text in red has been included.





#### **STANDARD D1.3** – Tanker Hygiene Monitoring

Section	Standard	Guidance	Assessor Guidance
D1.3	An effective tanker	The system must include an effective and regular	Documentation check.
	hygiene	swabbing routine, e.g. ATP (Adenosine Triphosphate) or	
	monitoring system	equivalent, and regular, documented, visual inspections.	Check that ATP system/tanker swabbing or equivalent is
	must be in place.		being undertaken as per customer requirements. Check
	R	Procedures must be in place to ensure that corrective	recent customer audits.
		action is taken if samples exceed set levels of cleanliness.	
			Key items of which evidence must be available include:
		Each tanker must be inspected internally and swabbed	
		every four to six weeks (or at intervals agreed with the	Swab results
		First Purchaser) and evidence of this inspection must be	Evidence of inspection with torch
		readily available (e.g. "tax disc" displaying tanker ID, date	Evidence of spray ball checks
		of last inspection, date of next inspection and	
		person/body that completed the inspection).	In any event, the driver should be familiar with the key
			operating parameters for the vessel in use.
		Details of the tanker wash procedure must be readily	
		available, either on the tanker or at the depot.	

To clarify that an alternative, equivalent system to ATP is also acceptable, the amended text in red has been added.

Dairy

Additional guidance has been added that the inspection interval may differ according to the First Purchaser's requirements.



# VERSION 12





#### **STANDARD A1.3** – Subcontractors membership of DTAS

	Standard	Guidance	Assessor Guidance
A1.3			Documentation check and questioning managers. Look for evidence
A1.5		in compliance with the DTAS standards and records kept.	of the status of any subcontractors currently in use, typically a copy
	haulier for operations falling under the	in compliance with the DTAS standards and records kept.	of the subcontractor's scheme certificate.
	scope of this scheme must be scheme	Definitions	of the subcontractor's scheme certificate.
	members.	Demittons.	Look for avidence of the training of staff not directly employed by
	members.	Definition of subsectory	Look for evidence of the training of staff not directly employed by
		Definition of subcontractor:	the haulier (if applicable).
	Where a regular 'traction-only' solution		
	•	Subcontracting is where part of an operation has been assigned to a third-party	
	requirement for the provider to be DTAS	haulier.	
	certified.		
		Definition of milk haulier:	
		A haulier is defined as being responsible for any of the following in relation to raw	
		milk and / or milk fractions:	
		Farm collection / loading of a tanker / transhipment between tankers / discharge	
		into a delivery point / CIP of a tanker / management of aspects of the operation.	
		Definition of traction only:	
		A traction only haulier is not involved in farm collection / loading of a tanker /	
		transhipment between tankers / discharge into a delivery point / CIP of a tanker /	
		management of aspects of the operation.	
		A traction only haulier may be permitted to undertake loading of a tanker /	The haulier must be able to demonstrate through the use of training
		transhipment between tankers / discharge into a delivery point / CIP of a tanker	records that any 'traction only' service provider utilised (who is not
		subject to the haulier having received the appropriate training.	themselves DTAS certified) has received appropriate training to
		subject to the natilet having received the appropriate training.	undertake loading of a tanker / transhipment between tankers /
		The above paragraph is a temporary measure and will be removed at 30	discharge into a delivery point / CIP of a tanker.
		September 2022.	



In light of the continuing difficulties being experienced in the milk haulage sector, it is proposed that the temporary derogation is extended by a further six months to 30 September 2022.



#### **STANDARD A1.4** – Self-audits

	Standard		Guidance	Assessor Guidance
A1.4	Self-audits	must b	Self-audits must be undertaken by a competent person	The competent person should be questioned
	carried out	against thi	and timed in such a way as there will be one external	about the process for self-auditing and actions
	standard	at leas	audit (official DTAS audit) and one internal audit every	taken particularly with respect to training.
	annually. <mark>R</mark>		twelve months, with these different audits being	Check for notes of the self-audit.
	_		approximately six months apart, e.g. if the external	
			audit is in December then the internal audit should be	
			in June.	
			Such competency may be demonstrated by suitable auditing experience and/or participation in a DTAS training course, e.g. DTAS Awareness Course. Notes of self-audit to be retained.	

To clarify that the external audit and internal audits should be carried out approximately six months apart, the guidance has been amended.





#### **STANDARD A2.4** – Load Rejection Procedures

	Standard	Guidance	Assessor Guidance
A2.4	Load rejection procedures	Specific procedures for quarantining the load will depend	Documentation check (hauliers/drivers manual(s))
	must be in place.	on the nature of the rejection but in all instances the driver	and questioning drivers and managers including:
	R	must contact the depot for instructions to address both on-	Examples of recent rejected loads.
		farm collection and delivery points.	<ul> <li>In case of animal by-products examples of transfer notes and method of disposal.</li> </ul>
		Written ABP policy must include traceability of the vehicle to ensure full CIP before being used again to transport milk or milk fractions.	
		If required to transport ABP, proof of registration with Defra as a waste carrier must be demonstrated.	<ul> <li>applied to the rejected trailer.</li> <li>Method of quarantine if appropriate.</li> </ul>
			<ul><li>Check CIP details following a recent ABP load:</li><li>Ex farm route summary.</li><li>CIP log.</li></ul>
			Check Manager / Supervisor knowledge of ABP traceability protocol.

In order to ensure that any milk which is rejected is quarantined (i.e. does not find its way back into the food chain), secured, traceable and documented, and declared as ABP to meet legal requirements, additional guidance has been added.





#### **STANDARD A4.6** – Third-party CIP

	Standard	Guidance	Assessor Guidance
A4.6	It is a requirement that a	The haulier is required to obtain evidence of compliance	It is the responsibility of the assessor to ensure
	haulier using a third-party	with the Dairy UK Tanker Cleaning Code of Practice: Dairy	that any haulier using a third-party CIP operation
	CIP operation, not	Operations:	has evidence to demonstrate that its operation
	categorised under the		meets the DTAS standards – evidence would be
	dairy CIP or haulier CIP	• That key cleaning parameters of adequate contact	included within the self-audit.
	definitions, ensures that it	time, contact surface, cleaning agents circulation	
	meets the DTAS standards.	temperature and concentration are met as in standard	Evidence of compliance must be available at the
		A4.5	time of audit. This should include an inspection
	The haulier must annually		of the third-party CIP facility if based on site.
	seek to obtain evidence	• That the third-party CIP company is retaining tank	
	that the cleaning company	cleaning records for a minimum period of 6 months.	
	complies with the Dairy UK		
	Tanker Cleaning Code of	A register of certified non-dairy, third-party CIP providers	
	Practice: Dairy Operations.	is available on the DTAS website.	

Guidance has been amended to show that a register of certified third party, non-dairy CIP providers is available on the DTAS website.





It is proposed that:

- A register of non-dairy, third-party, CIP providers should be available on the DTAS website to avoid auditors having to check compliance at each audit.
- The section on the DTAS Certification Report and DTAS Random/Verification Audit Report which shows Associated Sub-Depots and Outbased Reload Sites (including lay-bys) should also include details of any non-dairy, third-party CIP providers that have been included as part of the audit.
- DTAS should contact CIP Services on an annual basis for verification that their CIP operations comply with DTAS Standards.
- A DTAS Third-party CIP Audit Checklist template will also be added to the website.





#### AMENDMENT TO DTAS CERTIFICATION & RANDOM/VERIFICATION AUDIT REPORTS

Annex 1 to DTAS Operating Protocol	STRANGE SCITCUL
DTAS Certificatio	on Report 2022–23
PARTA:	SUMMARY
Name of haulier:	Associated Sub-Depot(s) (Name and Post Code):
Haulier depot:	
Haulier representative:	
Email address of haulier contact:	Sub-Depot(s) Audited:
Name of auditor:	Outbased Reload Sites, including lay-bys (Name
Date of audit:	and Post Code):
Name of shadow auditor (if any):	
Primary customer on haulier site:	Outbased Reload Site(s) Audited:
Contact details for primary customer:	Non-dairy, third-party CIP Operation Audited:





#### **CIP CHECKLIST**

DTAS	DTAS	S - CIP Checklis
SURANCE BOUN		
CIP details	-	
CIP location:		
Name of assessor:		
Date of visit:		
Overall comments		
Non-compliance report		
Detail of non-compliance	Corrective action required	Corrective action complete
	Description	Signed Dated
Third party CIP provider		I
definitions, ensures that it meets the DTA	sing a third-party CIP operation, not catego S standards. The haulier must annually see ker Cleaning Code of Practice: Dairy Opera	eek to obtain evidence that the cleaning
Availability and compliance with the Da Cleaning Code of Practice: Dairy Operat	tions	
Retention of all tanker cleaning records six months	s for a minimum of	
Quaternary Ammonium Co A4.8 - The use of Quaternary Ammonium	Ompounds Compounds is banned from the supply cha	ain
Evidence to confirm that products bein free and that they are not present on s		

and comments on performance of CIP.

### Dairy

Evidence free and that they are not present on site or being used on associated sites



#### STANDARD C3.3 A4.8 – Use of QAC's

Section	Standard	Guidance	Assessor Guidance
A4.8	The use o	f All chemicals that may come into contact with either	<ul> <li>Review list of chemicals used onsite and during</li> </ul>
	Quaternary	the product or the internal surfaces such as cleaning	tankers wash functions under the management
	Ammonium	agents, disinfectants and sanitisers cannot contain	responsibility of the haulier
	Compounds i	QAC's.	Look for evidence of use during onsite inspection.
	banned from the		
	supply chain.		Look for evidence to confirm that products being
	R		used are QAC free and that they are not present on
			site or being used on associated sites under the
			management responsibility of the haulier.
			Documentation for clarification of the chemicals used
			for the cleaning of tankers by third-party companies, is
			required to prove that QAC's are not being used.

As this standard, covering the use of QAC's, is more relevant to section A4 of the Standards (which deals with hygiene), it is proposed that it is moved into that section as Standard A4.8





## The current version of the Scheme Standards is available for view/download on the DTAS website.

http://www.dairytransport.co.uk/dtas/documents.eb





### ANY QUESTIONS?



## APPROVAL TO IMPLEMENT?



